EVALUATING ALTERNATIVES TO TINY HOME DEVELOPMENT

IVAN TENORIO, HOMELESS AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES MANAGER





TINY HOME COMMUNITY CASE STUDIES



Community First Village (Austin, TX): 500 units, \$6M annual cost, permanent housing focus, 91% success rate.



Interbay Village (Seattle, WA): 76 units, \$1.5M annual cost, modular and flexible, 40% success rate.



Hope Village (Medford, OR): 30 units, \$200K annual cost, small community, 60% success rate.

Chandler Blvd (Los Angeles, CA): 40 units, \$1.2M annual cost, rapid rehousing, 30% success rate.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Operational Costs:

High annual costs (\$200K–\$6M).

Zoning Restrictions:

Density limits, size requirements, setbacks, and infrastructure needs.

Sustainability Issues:

Dependence on private and government funding.

PLHA and Opioid:

Encumbered funds to current services.



LEVERAGING EXISTING RESOURCES

Leverage partnerships with:

- Coachella Valley Rescue Mission (CVRM)
- CV Housing First (CVAG)
- City Net
- Code Compliance
- Riverside Sheriff's Department

WHY PRIORITIZE EXISTING RESOURCES?







COST: EXISTING PROGRAMS ARE MORE AFFORDABLE AND SCALABLE

IMPACT: PROVEN SUCCESS IN CONNECTING INDIVIDUALS TO PERMANENT HOUSING

SIMPLICITY: AVOIDS ZONING AND INFRASTRUCTURE CHALLENGES TIED TO TINY HOMES

RECOMMENDATION



Recommend prioritizing existing housing and homeless resources instead of tiny home development